

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,730	01/07/2004		JAMES BRUCE HOLLAND	1729		
40228	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
JAMES T. 18635 BRE		ID .	WELCH, GARY L			
DETROIT,		3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2				3765		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)	
				HOLLAND, JAMES BRUCE	
Office Ad	tion Summary	10/707,73 Examiner		Art Unit	
	,		•	3765	
The MAILING	DATE of this communication	Gary L. W			ldress
Period for Reply		- 			
WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sp. - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE NGER, FROM THE MAILING available under the provisions of 37 CFF in the mailing date of this communication ecified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by st Office later than three months after the ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve i. riod will apply and wi atute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This action is 3)□ Since this app	communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$ FINAL. 2b) \square 1 lication is in condition for allow rdance with the practice under	This action is nowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-19 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification 10) ☒ The drawing(s) Applicant may replacement drawing the specific content of the s		drawn from condor election refiner. is/are: a)⊠ acthe drawing(s) becomes the condor election is require	equirement. ccepted or b) objected in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
·— Priority under 35 U.S.C					. •
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies applicate	ent is made of a claim for foreome * c) None of: I copies of the priority docum I copies of the priority docum of the certified copies of the priority for a late of the late	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Ruli	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 4 November 2005, has been reviewed and considered. Claims 1-4 are canceled and claims 5-19 are added. Therefore, claims 5-19 are currently pending. The previous prior art rejections presented in the first Office Action are withdrawn in lieu of the canceled claims. However, an updated search and further review of the prior art of record has prompted the presentation of the following rejections to the newly added claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-11, 13 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5, 13 and 17 require the extension tab to be infinitely adjustable. This is not correct in that the extension tab has finite dimensions and the adhesive strip has finite dimensions. Therefore, the extension tab is adjustable within definite dimensions.

Claims 6, 13 and 18 require that the adhesive strip be "Velcro". Velcro is a trademark. The term Velcro should be replaced with the generic terminology "hook and loop fastener".

Application/Control Number: 10/707,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 7-11 and 19 depend from one or more of the above rejected claims and are therefore rejected accordingly under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 6, 7, 12 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips, Jr. (U.S. 2,777,133)

Phillips, Jr. discloses a handkerchief holder 10 having a body 13 comprising a front and rear portion. The front and rear portions are connected to each other. An extension tab 18 is connected to the body 13 (Figure 7). A plurality of adjustment parts (26, 30,34) is provided along the holder for receiving the extension tab 18. However, Phillips, Jr. does not disclose that the extension tab is infinitely adjustable. As stated in the specification (Col. 2, lines 25-27), additional adjustment parts may be provided to provide more adjustability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide more adjustment parts so as to make the extension tab infinitely adjustable.

With regard to claims 6 and 13, the adjustment parts (26, 30, 34) are functionally equivalent to the claimed hook and loop strip. Since the adjustment parts are functionally equivalent to hook and loop fasteners, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3765

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the adjustment parts with hook and loop fastener.

With regard to claim 7, the top of the front portion is angled.

With regard to claim 12, the invention is disclosed above.

6. Claims 8 and 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips, Jr. (U.S. 2,777,133) in view of Schuchman, Sr. (U.S. 4,083,446).

Phillips, Jr. discloses the invention substantially as claimed above.

However, Phillips, Jr. does not disclose double-sided adhesive tape connected to the front portion.

Schuchman, Sr. teaches a handkerchief holder having double-sided adhesive tape connected to the front portion so as to enable the holder to be secured within the pocket.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide double sided adhesive tape as taught by Schuchman, Sr. to the pocket holder of Phillips, Jr. in order to secure the holder to the pocket so as to prevent the holder from falling from the pocket.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,730 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

8. Claims 15 and 16 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 17-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Welch whose telephone number is (571) 272-4996. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

glw